

20 June 2013

This record relates to Agenda Item 7

RECORD OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & CULTURE COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: ESTABLISHMENT OF A TOURISM
ADVISORY BOARD IN BRIGHTON &
HOVE

AUTHOR: ADAM BATES

THE DECISION

RESOLVED – That the principle of establishing a Tourism Advisory Board for Brighton and Hove be approved.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

VisitBrighton currently works in partnership with over 400 businesses in the tourism sector across the City. It is imperative that BHCC maintain the support and engagement of this sector and formalises a channel through which this wealth of experience and expertise can inform strategy.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The Blue Sail report considered and discounted the option of no change. The formation of a DMO is one that we would ask the Tourism Advisory Board to fully consider in due course.

Proper Officer:

Date: <publish date>

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

20 June 2013

This record relates to Agenda Item 8

RECORD OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & CULTURE COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: ROYAL PAVILION AND MUSEUMS
ACCREDITATION DOCUMENTS

AUTHOR: JANITA BAGSHAWE, TRICIA WINTER

THE DECISION

RESOLVED – (1) That the importance of the Accreditation Scheme for the UK Museums sector be noted; and

(2) That the following RPM Policies and Plans which had been made available in the Members' Rooms and the dates for review be approved:

- Strategic Forward Plan;
- Sustainability Policy;
- Collections Development Policy;
- Collections Documentation and Information Policy;
- Collections Care and Conservation Policy;
- Equality and Inclusion Statement.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

Recommendations are made on the basis of the need to secure Accredited status for RPM. The benefits of taking part in the Accreditation Scheme are set out in 3.3 above. Most critically, Accreditation builds RPM's credibility and authority with the public, donors and supporters, strengthens applications it makes for public and private funding and gives investors confidence in the organisation. All of these are essential in supporting RPM's social and financial sustainability.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The option to do nothing would put the service at risk and lead to its potential being unrealised.

Proper Officer:

Date: <publish date>

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (iii) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (iv) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more

Groups represented on the Council.

20 June 2013

This record relates to Agenda Item 9

RECORD OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & CULTURE COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS : THE
ROYAL PAVILION ESTATE

AUTHOR: JANITA BAGSHAWE, TRICIA WINTER

THE DECISION

- (1) That the feasibility study to address challenges across the Royal Pavilion Estate be noted;
- (2) That the submission of a stage 1 bid by the City Council to the Heritage Lottery Fund for improvements to the Royal Pavilion Estate in November 2013 be agreed; and
- (3) That permission to agree the details of a Memorandum of Understanding between BHCC and Brighton Dome and Brighton Festival covering the scope of the joint work be delegated to the Assistant Chief Executive.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

The proposals to move forward with joint work between RPM and BDFL are in response to success to date and are welcomed by external funders. There is a risk in each major organisation seeking to develop and to fundraise separately in that they will be going for some of the same sources. The prospect of major fundraising being successful when these two organisations work jointly is greatly increased. The issues in the management of the estate are best shared, as the impacts are shared.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The option to do nothing would put the service at risk and lead to its potential being unrealised.

Proper Officer:

Date: <publish date>

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services
Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (v) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (vi) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.



20 June 2013

This record relates to Agenda Item 10

RECORD OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & CULTURE COMMITTEE

POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: UPDATE ON THE ECONOMIC
STRATEGY AND ACTION PLAN

AUTHOR: CHERYL FINELLA

THE DECISION

RESOLVED - That the Committee:

(1) Notes and comment upon the progress made in developing the strategy and action plan; and

(2) Notes the revised timeline for project sign off to ensure the alignment of the Refreshed Economic Strategy with the City Deal proposal

REASON FOR THE DECISION

To provide the Committee with an update on the refresh of the Economic Strategy and Action Plan 2013-2018.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

Not applicable.

Proper Officer:

Date: <publish date>

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (vii) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (viii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

20 June 2013

This record relates to Agenda Item 11

RECORD OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & CULTURE COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: GREATER BRIGHTON 'ECO TECH'
CITY DEAL.

AUTHOR: NICK HIBBERD

THE DECISION

RESOLVED – That the Committee notes the progress made to date and endorses the work being undertaken by officers to secure a Wave 2 City Deal for the Greater Brighton City Region.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

To provide an update on greater Brighton's bid for a Wave 2 City Deal.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The City Council was not obliged to bid for a City Deal, but it is considered to offer the potential for considerable economic benefit to the city.

Proper Officer:

Date: <publish date>

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (ix) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (x) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

20 June 2013

This record relates to Agenda Item 12

RECORD OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & CULTURE COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: HOUSING STUDY: DUTY TO CO-OPERATE

AUTHOR: MIKE HOLFORD

THE DECISION

RESOLVED - That the Committee:-

(1) Endorses the Housing Duty to Co-Operate Study as a supporting evidence document for the City Plan; and.

(2) Endorses consultation with adjoining authorities under the requirements for Duty to Cooperate

REASON FOR THE DECISION

Given the absence of any other formal mechanism to discharge the duty to co-operate and the stage at which the City Plan has reached the recommendation in the report is considered the most appropriate response.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The City Council needs to demonstrate that the duty to co-operate and soundness issues arising have been met in order for the City Plan to reach adoption. Opportunities to collaborate with other groupings of local authorities are being investigated.

Proper Officer:

Date: <publish date>

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (xi) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (xii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

20 June 2013

This record relates to Agenda Item 13

RECORD OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & CULTURE COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING
DOCUMENT 12 : DESIGN GUIDE FOR
EXTENSIONS AND ALTERATIONS

AUTHOR: ADRIAN SMITH

THE DECISION

RESOLVED - That the Committee:

- (1) Notes the results of the public consultation exercise (appendix 2) and endorses the changes made to the SPD;
- (2) Adopts SPD12 'Design Guide for Extensions and Alterations' (attached as Appendix 1) as a Supplementary Planning Document subject to any minor grammatical and non-material text and illustrative alterations agreed by the Executive Director Environment, Development & Housing in consultation with the lead Councillor;
- (3) That following adoption of SPD12 'Design Guide for Extensions and Alterations', the Economic Development & Culture Committee authorises the revocation of SPGBH01 'Roof Alterations and Extensions' and SPGBH07 'Satellite Receiving Dishes and Other Aerials'; and
- (4) That the SPD takes effect on Monday 5 August 2013.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

The final stage of producing an SPD requires formal approval and adoption by the relevant Committee, in this case the Economic Development and Culture Committee.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

Alternative options were evaluated as part of the Sustainability Appraisal, including an option would have relied on primary policy and Government guidance only. The option of producing an SPD was considered to be the most effective and sustainable option. This approach was also supported by the initial consultation.

Proper Officer:

Date: <publish date>

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (xiii) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (xiv) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

